·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/350,492	KRISHNAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Kenneth Tang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul Horstmann (Reg. No. 36,167)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2005	Time: <u>2:15pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) lo	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 30, 31, 33, 36, 37, 47, 48, and 49		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>NA</i>		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Example 1.		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	tion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
SUPERCISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY COMPANY		
Keineth Jan		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)